

REPORT ETL TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

INDUSTRIAL PARK

CORTLAND, NEW YORK 13045

Order No. 47103K

Date: June 16, 1988

REPORT NO. 485562

TEST OF PRESSURE LOSS VERSUS AIRFLOW
AND GENERATED SOUND LEVELS ON
THREE SHEET METAL
AIR TURNING DEVICES
SINGLE WALL VANE AND E-Z RAIL II

Rendered To SHEET METAL CONNECTORS, INC.

INTRODUCTION

This report gives the results of pressure loss and generated sound levels versus airflow on three air turning devices. In addition generated sound levels versus airflow was determined for three bare mitered elbows. The elbows were supplied by ETL Testing Laboratories while the turning vanes were selected and supplied by the client and were received in May, 1988.

AUTHORIZATION

Purchase Order No. 7681 and note of 5/24/88 from Mr. Jim Myers.

TEST METHOD

The elbow/elbow-turning vanes were set up and connected with suitable ductwork to ETL's 12,000 CFM variable speed blower with the air volume being measured by a calibrated sharp edged orifice metering station. The pressure drop across the orifice was measured employing Dwyer Inclined Manometer Model 200, range 0-2" W.G. The blower discharge ductwork is acoustically lined (approximately 100 ft of duct) to insure quiet air being delivered to the system plenum. From the lined plenum, the discharge duct with appropriate flow straightener ran the forty feet to our 470 m^3 reverberation room. The static pressure upstream of the elbow was measured with a pitot tube at the duct centerline.

The laboratory method used in conducting the sound tests is in accordance with ANSI S1.31, "American National Standard Precision Methods for the Determination of Sound Power Levels of Broad-Band Noise Sources in Reverberation Rooms".

An independent, employee-owned organization testing for safety, performance and certification.



TEST METHOD (Cont'd)

The reference sound source used for this test was calibrated ILG Fan Serial No. 17-05-066A which conforms to the above standard. Data was obtained on a Bruel & Kjaer Digital Frequency Analyzer Type 2131 and processed in a Hewlett Packard 9825B Computer.

TEST PROCEDURES

Generated sound and airflow versus static pressure were conducted on each specimen tested. The duct test velocities employed were 1000 - 1500 - 2000 - 2500 and 3000 FPM as directed by the client.

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMEN

- A) 24 x 24 inch bare elbow.
- B) 24 x 24 inch elbow with 10 Snaplock Vanes on E-Z Rail II runners. Vane radius 4-1/2 inch, vane spacing 3-1/4 inches, 10 vanes in total.
- C) 24 x 12 inch bare elbow.
- D) 24 x 12 inch elbow with 10 Snaplock Vanes on E-Z Rail II runners. Vane radius 4-1/2 inch, vane spacing 3-1/4 inches, 10 Vanes in total.
- E) 12 x 24 inch bare elbow.
- F) 12 x 24 inch elbow with 5 Snaplock Vanes on E-Z Rail II runners. Vane radius 4-1/2 inch, vane spacing 3-1/4 inches, 5 vanes in total.



RESULTS OF TESTS

| OF TESTS | | Gene | rated 9 | ound 1 | Power Le | evel | | |
|---------------|------------|---|--|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | | Generated Sound Power Level dB re 10 ⁻¹² Watt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Frequenc | y - Hz | | |
| Test Specimen | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | | 4000 | 8000 | |
| • | | Test F | Run #1: | Velo | city = : | 1000 FPM | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| A | 48 | 42 | 40 | 36 | 28 | | (29) | |
| В | 48 | 42 | | 33 | 25 | | | |
| C | 44 | (35) | | | (20) 25 | (22) (22) | (29) (29) | |
| ם | (45) | 40 | 37 | 34 | (20) | | (29) | |
| E F | 48 (46) | 39 40 | 34 37 | 27 31 | (22) | (22) | (29) | |
| | | Test I | Run #2: | | | 1500 FPM | | |
| | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | |
| A | 60 | 52 | 50 | 48 | 41 | 35 | (30) | |
| В | 62 | 54 | 51 | 48 | 41 | 36 | (30) | |
| С | 55 | 49 | 44 | 40 | 33 | 26 | (29) | |
| ם | 52 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 40 | 34 | (29) | |
| E | 58 | 50 | 45 | 40 | | | (29) | |
| F | 52 | 49 | 49 | 46 | 38 | 31 | (29) | |
| | | Test | Run #3: | Velo | city = | 2000 FPM | | |
| | | | | | | 4.5 | 27 | |
| A | 67 | 61 | 57 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 37 | |
| В | 62 | 60 | 59 | 56 | 51 | 46 36 | 39 (30) | |
| С | 62 | 56 | 51 | 48 54 | 42 50 | 46 | 36 | |
| D | 59 | 57 59 | 5 6 54 | 49 | 44 | 39 | 33 | |
| E F | 66 59 | 56 | 54 57 | 54 | 48 | 43 | 36 | |
| - | | | 5 #A. | **-1- | | 3500 EDA | | |
| | | Test | Run #4: | Velo | ocity = | 2500 FPN | 1 | |
| A | 73 | 67 | 63 | 60 | 56 | 52 | 46 | |
| В | 68 | 66 | 64 | 62 | 57 | 54 | 49 | |
| С | 68 | 63 | 58 | 54 | 49 | 44 | 40 | |
| D | 65 | 63 | 61 | 60 | 57 | 54 | 46 | |
| E | 73 | 66 | 60 | 56 | 51 | 47 | 42 | |
| F | 64 | 61 | 63 | 61 | 54 | 51 | 46 | |



| | Generated Sound Power Level | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------|---------|----------|----------|------|
| • | | d | B re | 10-12 W | att | | |
| | | Octave | Band (| Center | Frequenc | y - Hz | |
| <u>Test Specimen</u> | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | 8000 |
| | | Test R | un #5: | : Velo | city = 3 | 000 FPN | |
| A | A & 1 | B not r | un at | 3000 F | PM - CFN | 1 is abo | ve |
| В | | em limi | | | | | |
| С | 74 | 69 | 64 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 45 |
| D | 70 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 62 | 61 | 54 |
| E | 79 | 71 | 66 | 61 | 57 | 53 | 51 |
| F | 67 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 60 | 58 | 57 |

Note:

Sound power levels in parentheses have reached ambient levels in the test room or are determined by instrument limitations. Actual levels are less than or equal to the levels indicated.

ACOTXT





Duct Diameter 36"

Orifice Diameter 25.2"

Single Thickness

Turning Vane Pressure Loss

Duct System: 24" X 24" Date of Test: June 8, 1988

Vane Description: R = 4-1/2 S = 3-1/4 Temperature: Dry Bulb 72.9°F

Wet Bulb 54.9°F
Number and Type of Vanes: 10 Snaplock Vanes

Barometer: 28.58" Hg
Type of Runners: E-Z Rail II

Upstream Pitot Tube Location "A"

Orifice Metering Station:

Distance Feet: 5'

Downstream Pitot Tube Location "B"

Distance Feet: 10'

| Duct Measurement | | | Straight Duct | Calculations | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Duct Temperature °F | Pressure Drop "H2O A to B | Corrected Pressure Drop | Pressure Loss per 100 ft. | Pressure Loss per Fitting | Air Velocity |
| 76.8 | 0.023 | 0.0237 | 0.0488 | 0.0164 | 1007 |
| 78.2 | 0.052 | 0.0536 | 0.1087 | 0.0373 | 1504 |
| 79.8 | 0.092 | 0.0949 | 0.1920 | 0.0661 | 1998 |
| 80.7 | 0.143 | 0.1475 | 0.2972 | 0.1029 | 2486 |

Duct Diameter 36"

Orifice Diameter 25.2"

6.

Single Thickness

Turning Vane Pressure Loss

Duct System: 24" X 12" Date of Test: June 10, 1988

Vane Description: R = 4-1/2" S = 3-1/4" Temperature: Dry Bulb 70.8°F

Wet Bulb 54.0°F Number and Type of Vanes: 10 Snaplock Vanes

Barometer: 28.91" Hg
Type of Runners: E-Z Rail II

Upstream Pitot Tube Location "A"

Orifice Metering Station:

Distance Feet: 2.5

Downstream Pitot Tube Location "B"

Distance Feet: 5

| Duct Measurement | | | Straight Duct | Calculations | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Duct Temperature °F | Pressure Drop "H2O A to B | Corrected Pressure Drop | Pressure Loss per 100 ft. | Pressure Loss per Fitting | Air Velocity |
| 73.9 | 0.020 | 0.0250 | 0.0707 | 0.0152 | 1012 |
| 74.1 | 0.045 | 0.0461 | 0.1578 | 0.0343 | 1512 |
| 74.2 | 0.080 | 0.0819 | 0.2770 | 0.0611 | 2010 |
| 74.6 | 0.120 | 0.1229 | 0.4322 | 0.0905 | 1507 |
| 74.9 | 0.168 | 0.1721 | 0.6200 | 0.1256 | 3002 |



Single Thickness

Turning Vane Pressure Loss

Duct System: 12" X 24"

Vane Description: R = 4-1/2" S = 3-1/4" Temperature: Dry Bulb 70.8°F

Wet Bulb 54.0°F

Date of Test: June 10, 1988

Upstream Pitot Tube Location "A"

Number and Type of Vanes: 5 Snaplock Vanes

Barometer: 28.91" Hg
Type of Runners: E-Z Rail II

Orifice Metering Station:

Distance Feet: 2.5

Duct Diameter 36"

Downstream Pitot Tube Location "B" Orifice Diameter 25.2"

Distance Feet: 5

| Duct Measurement | | | Straight Duct | Calculations | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Duct Temperature °F | Pressure Drop "H2O A to B | Corrected Pressure Drop | Pressure Loss per 100 ft. | Pressure Loss per Fitting | Air <u>Velocity</u> |
| 75.2 | 0.025 | 0.0256 | 0.0707 | 0.0203 | 1013 |
| 75.1 | 0.055 | 0.0564 | 0.1578 | 0.0446 | 1512 |
| 75.2 | 0.090 | 0.0922 | 0.2770 | 0.0714 | 2011 |
| 75.4 | 0.140 | 0.1435 | 0.4322 | 0.1111 | 2508 |
| 75.3 | 0.200 | 0.2050 | 0.6200 | 0.1585 | 3004 |

CONCLUSION

The test method employed for this test has no pass-fail criteria, therefore, the evaluation of the test results is left to the discretion of the client.

Report Approved by:

Norman H. Bay, Manager Acoustical Division